



Republic of Serbia  
Ministry of Construction,  
Transport and Infrastructure  
Project Implementation Unit

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| <b>Finance Contract:</b>      | SERBIAN INLAND WATERWAY INFRASTRUCTURE Finance Contract between the Republic of Serbia and European Investment Bank (Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia - International Contracts No. 02/2019) |
| <b>Title:</b>                 | Removal of the sunken German fleet from the Danube River near Prahovo  |
| <b>Reference number:</b>      | 404-02-000252022-06  |
| <b>Document:</b>              | Clarification No. 1 to Tender Dossier  |
| <b>Number of pages:</b>       | 4  |
| <b>Number of attachments:</b> | -  |
| <b>Date:</b>                  | November 15 <sup>th</sup> , 2022   |

## Clarification No. 1 to TD

| QUESTIONS  | ANSWERS   |
|--|---|
| <b>Question No 1</b><br>In reference to Volume 3, Part 1, Section 4, What is the minimum elevation below datum vessels must be buried if that methodology is selected.   | <b>Answer No 1</b><br>Please take a note that the Contracting Authority cannot give any prior assessment of the tender nor any part of the tender, including organization and methodology.  |
| <b>Question No 2</b><br>In reference to Volume 3, Part 1, Section 4, is it an acceptable method to shift vessels clear of desired channel boundaries and leave them in place, for instance, alongside other wrecks that have been identified but not targeted for removal. | <b>Answer No 2</b><br>Please take a note that the Contracting Authority cannot give any prior assessment of the tender nor any part of the tender, including organization and methodology.  |
| <b>Question No 3:</b><br>In reference to Volume 3, Part 3, Section 12, what are the geographic bounds of the area to be dredged (ITT states estimated dredge volume of 650,000m <sup>3</sup> ).  | <b>Answer No 3</b><br>The area to be dredged is the designed fairway in its full size.  |
| <b>Question No 4</b><br>Please clarify the expected extent of dredging expected by the Contractor? Is it only localized dredging required to the address the UXO's or remove the wrecks?   | <b>Answer No 4</b><br>Please see the answer to the question number 03.  |
| <b>Question No 5</b><br>It was indicated during site visit it is preferred to dispose of the dredge spoils locally in the Danube in the shallower water outside the planned channel, please confirm if there is a specific   | <b>Answer No 5</b><br>The successful bidder should to perform disposal/dumping in line with the existing legislation of the Republic of Serbia reflecting this subject. Please take a note that the Contracting Authority cannot give any prior assessment of the |



| QUESTIONS  | ANSWERS  |
|--|--|
| preferred dredge spoil dumping location in the work area.  | tender nor any part of the tender, including organization and methodology.   |
| <b>Question No 6</b><br>It was indicated during site visit it is preferred to In reference to Volume 5, Design 3, section 4.2, during spawning season of March to June are any operations specifically prohibited? e.g., dredging, submerged lifting, submerged detonation of explosives, etc.   | <b>Answer No 6</b><br>The successful bidder should to perform all works in line with the existing legislation of the Republic of Serbia reflecting this subject. Limitations regarding the spawning period are related to works, and not related to investigations.  |
| <b>Question No 7</b><br>In reference to Volume 3, Part 2, Section 10.2.4, it is stated all vessels engaged on the project must be Serbian flagged, however, during site visit it was indicated any EU country flagged vessel can work on the project, please confirm which is correct.   | <b>Answer No 7</b><br>The successful bidder should to perform all works in line with the existing legislation of the Republic of Serbia reflecting this subject. In this particular case, it is necessary to perform temporary inscription of the vessel into the Serbian National Ship Register.  |
| <b>Question No 8</b><br>Volume 3, Part 3 discusses the identification and recovery of “moveable objects with historic value”. Who will be responsible for identifying objects that qualify as such? Who will be responsible for recovering and preserving those items? If it is not the responsibility of the winning bidder, what sort of access and how much time will the responsible party need to survey each recovered vessel for artifacts? | <b>Answer No 8</b><br>The successful bidder should to perform all works in line with the existing legislation of the Republic of Serbia reflecting this subject. The subject of objects with potential historic value is the subject of the Volume 5, Design_08 - Institute for Cultural Heritage Preservation Niš, document Dopis Zavod za zastitu spomenika.pdf. |
| <b>Question No 9</b><br>Volume 3, Part 1, Section 3 shows an image of the 21 vessels slated for removal, vessel N2 is identified in this image for removal, however in Volume 5, Design_02, 20211025_Technical_Report_Final_NoLogo, Figure 73 (page 103), vessel N1 is identified as requiring removal, then page 104 contradicts this stating it is N2 instead of N1 requiring removal. Please clarify if vessel N1, N2, or both require removal. | <b>Answer No 9</b><br>There is a technical error in the Volume 5, Design_02, 20211025_Technical_Report_Final_NoLogo, Figure 73 (page 103). The Annex 10 from the Volume 5, file name Annex 10_DataSheetsWrecks_NoLogo.pdf, page 88, shows the correct profile of the shipwreck N2, which is foreseen for the removal.  |
| <b>Question No 10</b><br>In terms of ship disposal, does all recovered material need to be processed and disposed of in Serbia?  | <b>Answer No 10</b><br>It is up to the bidder to propose as part of his methodology suitable mode of processing and disposing material that should be disposed, complying with Serbian legislation. No particular requirement regarding this subject is imposed in the tender documents.   |
| <b>Question No 11</b>  | <b>Answer No 11</b>  |



| QUESTIONS   | ANSWERS  |
|---|--|
| Can raw data be provided for multibeam surveys conducted of the area?   | Multibeam images are already provided in the tender dossier, Volume 5, in the annexes 8.1 to 8.4. Raw data cannot be provided in this phase.   |
| <b>Question No 12</b><br>Can Magnetometer images be provided for UXO targets?   | <b>Answer No 12</b><br>Magnetometer images are already provided in the tender dossier, Volume 5, in the annexes 8.1 to 8.4.  |
| <b>Question No 13</b><br>Do all 603 pUXO anomalies require investigating and removing or just the pUXO around the wrecks to enable safe wreck removal?                              | <b>Answer No 13</b><br>The obligations of successful bidder related to investigation and removal of the potential UXOs are clearly stipulated in the general conditions issued by the Mine Action Center, provided in the Volume 5, in the document AMCS_20210813.pdf.                           |
| <b>Question No 14</b><br>What data can the client provide from the previously conducted surveys? (known pUXO coordinates, burial depth, size nT value etc)?                         | <b>Answer No 14</b><br>The List of UXO suspicious objects identified in the previous project, including information required is already provided in the Tender Dossier, Volume 5, in the document "Annex 5_List of all magnetic targets_NoLogo.pdf".   |
| <b>Question No 15</b><br>Can you provide proposed points of contact for the Serbian Mine action Centre, Port of Prahovo, Police EOD representative and the Archeological institute? | <b>Answer No 15</b><br>The Contacts to the relevant parties involved shall be provided officially by the Contracting Authority to the winning bidder, after the Commencement Order is issued.  |
| <b>Question No 16</b><br>Has an area been identified by SMAC or EOD for the disposal and dumping of munitions/UXO and if so where?  | <b>Answer No 16</b><br>The disposal and dumping of munitions/UXO is the subject of the procedure prescribed by the national legislation and is to be addressed by the Contractor, in line with the Volume 5, folder Design_06 - Action Mine Centre of Serbia, in the document AMCS_20210813.pdf. |
| <b>Question No 17</b><br>Has an area been identified by MAC Serbia or EOD for an explosive's storage facility, if so where?   | <b>Answer No 17</b><br>It should be the subject of the proposed methodology of bidders. The exact areas are the subject of the approval of the national authorities, in line with the legislation, and will be known upon the commencement of the contract.                                      |
| <b>Question No 18</b><br>Is UXO to be handed over to MAC Serbia for disposal where possible?  | <b>Answer No 18</b><br>The disposal of the UXO is the subject of the procedure prescribed by the national legislation.   |
| <b>Question No 19</b><br>What is the preferred route/method for disposal of FFE scrap metal post-disposal?  | <b>Answer No 19</b><br>Within the tender documents, there are no requirements related to the preferred route/method for disposal of FFE scrap metal. It is up to the bidder to propose as part of his  |



Republic of Serbia  
Ministry of Construction,  
Transport and Infrastructure  
Project Implementation Unit

| QUESTIONS  | ANSWERS   |
|--|---|
|  | methodology route/method for disposal scrap metal, complying with the applicable national legislation.  |
| <b>Question No 20</b><br>We note there is a document referenced which we cannot seem to source in the provided information - Form 4.4 Financial Statement? Would this be similar to form 2 from the prequalification stage (Financial Capacity). | <b>Answer No 20</b><br>The Form 4.4 is standard part of the PRAG templates for the Works tender dossier, Annex D4i, form Technical offer form 4.4, available at <a href="https://wikis.ec.europa.eu/display/ExactExternalWiki/Annexes#Annexes-AnnexesD(Ch.5):Works">https://wikis.ec.europa.eu/display/ExactExternalWiki/Annexes#Annexes-AnnexesD(Ch.5):Works</a> . It is attached to this clarification. |